

MAR. 31. 2005 12:10PM

5106630920

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NO. 857

P. 1

MAR 31 2005

## **BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS, LLP**

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW  
500 12th Street, Suite 200, Oakland, CA 94607  
Telephone: (510) 663-1100 Facsimile: (510) 663-0920  
www.beyerlaw.com

### **FACSIMILE COVER SHEET**

March 31, 2005

**Receiver:** Examiner Scott E. Jones

**FAX #:** 703-872-9306

**Sender:** Tomika D. Thomas, Patent Secretary to:  
DAVID P. OLYNICK

**Our Ref. No.:** IGT1P026/P-256

**Appln. No.:** 09/819,152

**Re:** Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

**Pages Including Cover Sheet(s):** 03

#### **MESSAGE:**

---

#### **CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE**

The information contained in this facsimile (FAX) message is legally privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the receiver or firm named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended receiver, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this FAX is strictly prohibited. If you have received this FAX in error, please immediately notify the sender at the telephone number provided above and return the original message to the sender at the address above via the United States Postal Service. Thank you.

---

MAR. 31. 2005 12:11PM

5106630920

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NO. 857

P. 2

MAR 31 2005

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re application of: Paulsen

Attorney Docket No.: IGT1P026/P-256

Application No.: 09/819,152

Examiner: Jones, Scott E.


Filed: March 27, 2001

Group: 3713

Title: INTERACTIVE GAME PLAYING  
PREFERENCES**CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on March 31, 2005.

Signed:

  
Tomika Thomas**APPLICANT INITIATED INTERVIEW REQUEST FORM**Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Tentative Participants:

- |                  |                |
|------------------|----------------|
| 1) David Olynick | 2) Keith Moore |
| 3)               | 4)             |

Proposed Date of Interview: April 7th

Proposed Time: 10 AM

Type of Interview Requested:

☐ Telephone    ☒ Personal    ☐ Video Conference
Exhibit to be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☐ No

If yes, provide brief description:

**ISSUES TO BE DISCUSSED**

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc.)	Claims/ Fig., #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
1)103 rejection	all	walker, Microsoft	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF ARGUMENTS TO BE PRESENTED:**

Applicant combination does not teach all elements of the recited claims. Examiner has stated that Microsoft teaches "a simulated game presentation, wherein the simulated game presentation is for allowing a user to determine the effects of different game feature settings on the game presentation prior to initiating wagering game play on the gaming machine where the wager is not required to view the simulated game presentation." Applicant is having difficulty finding this teaching in the Microsoft reference and would like to discuss with Examiner.

In the flow diagrams of FIGs. 10a, 10b, 11a and 11b, Walker shows that when the gaming machine is configured with preference settings it is always done before wagering game play begins and there is not an opportunity to view the effects of the preference settings unless wagering game play is initiated. Thus, in Walker, to view the effects of preference setting one must make a wager on a game. A simulated game presentation where "the wager is not required to view the simulated game presentation" is not described in Walker.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on

\*Note: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP §713.01). This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 C.F.R. 1.33(b)) as soon as possible.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative)  
Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)